·	Application	. No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	10/728,943	10/728,943 KRALOVEC, JAROSLA		ROSLAV A.
Examiner-initiated litterview Summ	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Deborah K.	Ware	1651	
All Participants:	Status of	Application:	_	
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3)	_·		
(2) <u>Thuy Nguyen</u> .	(4)	<b>_</b> ·		
Date of Interview: 28 April 2005	Time:			
	☐ Applicant's represen	ntative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: Not discussed.				
Claims discussed: all pending				
Prior art documents discussed: art of record				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL NATURE	E OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner we separate record of the	ill provide a writter substance of the ir	n summary of the nterview, since t	e substance
		•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (	Applicant/Applicant's R	Representative Sign	nature – if appro	priate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's Representative authorized the examiner's amendment to put the claims into codition for allowance. However, although Applicant's Representative did not concede that changes were necessary they agreed that such changes could be made to expedite prosecution in the interest of allowing the claims of the instant case.